Comparing M2T & M2M Complementary Approaches

Hugo Bruneliere, Richard Paige & Goran Olsen

INRIA, York & SINTEF



Comparing M2T & M2M Complementary Approaches

Context of this work





- The present courseware has been elaborated in the context of the MODELPLEX European IST FP6 project (<u>http://www.modelplex.org/</u>).
- Co-funded by the European Commission, the MODELPLEX project involves 21 partners from 8 different countries.
- MODELPLEX aims at defining and developing a coherent infrastructure specifically for the application of MDE to the development and subsequent management of complex systems within a variety of industrial domains.
- To achieve the goal of large-scale adoption of MDE, MODELPLEX promotes the idea of a collaborative development of courseware dedicated to this domain.
- The MDE courseware provided here with the status of open-source software is produced under the EPL 1.0 license.

Outline

- Presentation of model transformation
 - Overview
 - Other kinds of transformation (not model-based)

• What is M2T?

- Principles
- Existing solutions (MOFScript & Epsilon EGL)

• What is M2M?

- Principles
- Existing solutions (ATL & Epsilon ETL)
- Differences between M2T & M2M
- Combining both approaches in an MDE process
 - Application on a concrete use case: UML2 to Java
 - Advantages of such a solution

Presentation of model transformation

Overview

- Model-Driven Engineering (MDE) technique
- Consume/produce models as inputs/outputs
 Each model conforms to a given metamodel
- Two kinds of model transformation:
 - Model-to-Text transformation (M2T)
 - Model-to-Model transformation (M2M)
- Two different possible implementations:
 - Use a model transformation Domain-Specific Language (DSL)
 - ATL, MOFScript, Epsilon, etc.
 - Use a General Purpose Language (GPL)
 - Java, C#, etc.

Presentation of model transformation

Other kinds of transformations (not model-based)

• XSLT transformation

RINRIA THE UNIVERSITY of York () SINTEF

- XML document-to-XML document transformation
- Each XML document conforms to a given XML schema
- \rightarrow Directly translatable to the MDE paradigm
- Compilation transformation
 - Text-to-Binary transformation
 - Each source program conforms to a given grammar
 - Each target compiled program conforms to a given binary format
 - \rightarrow Also adaptable to the MDE paradigm
- Model transformation is a generic abstraction of all these techniques

Principles

• To be completed (York & SINTEF)



Existing solutions: MOFScript

• To be completed (SINTEF)



Existing solutions: Epsilon EGL

• To be completed (York)



Principles

- A M2M transformation is the automated creation of *m* target models from *n* source models
 - Each model conforms to a given reference model (i.e., a metamodel or metametamodel), which can be the same for several models
- M2M transformation is not only about M1 to M1 transformations:



RINRIA THE UNIVERSITY of York SINTEF



Existing solutions: Eclipse-M2M ATL

• Website → <u>http://www.eclipse.org/m2m/atl/</u>

eclipse	HOME COMMUNITY MEMBERSHIP COMMITTERS DOWNLOADS RESOURCES PROJEC		SEARCH:
M2M		ATLO	
ATL Use Cases Basic Examples ATL Transformations Download	Welcome ATL (ATLAS Transformation Language) is a model transformation language and toolkit developed by the ATLAS Group (INRIA & LINA). In the field of Model-Driven Engineering (MDE), ATL provides ways to produce a set of target models from a set of source models. Developed on top of the Eclipse platform, the ATL Integrated Environnement (IDE) provides a number of standard development tools (syntax highlighting, debugger, etc.) that aims to ease development of ATL transformations. The ATL project includes also a library of ATL transformations. ATL discussion occurs on the: M2M Eclipse newsgroup. Rate and Comment via EPIC 10 Submit		Getting Started • Use Cases • Basic Examples & Patterns • Documentation • Download • Wiki
Documentation Wiki			ATL Developer Box
Publications	Quick Navigator	ATI News EI	 Bugs recently clo Report a bug
► Newsgroup	Use Cases, Basic Examples & Patterns, ATL	→ New use case available : Modeling Web applications posted 05-10-2007 → New ATL Transformation Scenario: KM3 metamodel to	◦ CVS
	 → users newsgroup: users discussions and support [archive] [old archive] [search] [web interface] → m2m-atl-dev@eclipse.org: developer discussions [archive] 	ATL comparison transformation posted 05-09-2007 Update of ATL scenarios of the Models Measurement Use Case posted 30-08-2007	
		→ New ATL Transformation Scenario: Measure to XHTML posted 30-08-2007 → ATL 2.0.0RC2 is available posted 01-08-2007	
	What can you do with ATL? This section provides a set of ATL model transformation use cases covering of how model to model transformation (M2M) can be applied.	ng different domains of application. These use cases are concrete examples	
lome Privacy Policy	Terms of Use Contact Legal A A	Copyright © 2007 The Eclipse Fo	oundation. All Rights Res
THE UNIVERS	SITY of York () SINTEF	11 © 2008 INF	RIA, Univers

© 2008 INRIA, University of York & SINTEF

Existing solutions: Eclipse-M2M ATL

- Available resources (1/2)
 - Use cases → 24 complete transformation scenarios covering many different domains of application
 - <u>Basic examples</u> \rightarrow very first transformation examples which are interesting when starting with ATL (for beginners)
 - <u>ATL Transformations</u> → ATL Transformation Zoo which gathers more than a hundred of various and varied transformations implemented using ATL
 - <u>Download</u> → different binary builds of ATL available and also additional information for using the ATL update site

Existing solutions: Eclipse-M2M ATL

- Available resources (2/2)
 - Documentation → various kinds of ATL documents including a reference manual, a user manual, installation instructions, etc
 - <u>Publications</u> → non-exhaustive list of papers presenting different works involving or using (directly or indirectly) ATL
 - <u>Wiki</u> → an open section dedicated to ATL on the Eclipse Wiki which allows the community to consult or/and add information about ATL
 - Newsgroup → a link to the Eclipse newsgroup dedicated to the M2M project components (posts concerning ATL are prefixed with the [ATL] tag)

RINRIA THE UNIVERSITY of York SINTEF

Existing solutions: Eclipse-M2M ATL

• How to get the plugins:

RINRIA THE UNIVERSITY of York () SINTEF

- Download the latest binary builds (frequently updated): <u>http://</u> www.eclipse.org/modeling/m2m/downloads/?project=atl
- Use the M2M update site (M2M ATL SDK): <u>http://</u> www.eclipse.org/modeling/m2m/updates/
- Install ATL sources from CVS (stable HEAD): <u>http://</u> wiki.eclipse.org/ATL/How Install ATL From CVS/
- Install ATL sources from CVS (development branch): <u>http://</u> wiki.eclipse.org/ATL/How Install ATL (Dev) From CVS

Existing solutions: Epsilon ETL

• To be completed (York)



Differences between M2T & M2M

- M2T transformation bridges the MDE technical space with the Grammarware technical space
 - Consumes/produces models to/from text files
 - Requires both reference models (i.e., metamodels or metametamodels) and text formats (e.g., grammars)
 - Handles both model elements and text
 - → Heterogeneity
- M2M transformation concerns only the MDE technical space
 - Consumes/produces only models
 - Requires only reference models (i.e., metamodels or metametamodels)
 - Handles only model elements
 - \rightarrow Homogeneity

MINRIA THE UNIVERSITY of York SINTEF

Application on a concrete use case: UML2 to Java

An <u>M2T solution</u>

- A single transformation performing at the same time:
 - Refactoring (e.g. delete of multiple inheritance)
 - Mapping (UML2 concepts to Java concepts)
 - Extraction to a concrete syntax (conforming to the Java grammar)

RINRIA THE UNIVERSITY of York SINTEF



Application on a concrete use case: UML2 to Java

Same case using an <u>M2M+M2T solution</u>



Application on a concrete use case: UML2 to Java

• Same case using an <u>M2M+M2T solution</u> + new refactoring



19

Application on a concrete use case: UML2 to Java



Application on a concrete use case: UML2 to Java



Advantages of such a generic M2M+M2T solution

- Modularity
 - Clearly separate the concerns (refactoring, mapping, extraction to a given syntax, etc)
- Extensibility
 - Easily add new features (additional refactoring, different mapping, other extraction to a textual or graphical syntax, etc)
- Reusability
 - Apply the same feature in different contexts (i.e., the same refactoring for targeting different languages)

• Homogeneity

- Handle mostly models (extraction is just the final step)
- Abstraction
 - Focus is set only on the concepts (abstract syntax) and not on their various possible representations (concrete syntaxes)