

## Aperi IPZillas:

| CQ:  | Component   | Status   |
|------|---|--|
| 144  | CCLOG Version: 115 Level 20060816A                                    | <p>09/07/07: Sharon sent a note with 7 questions from the code review.</p> <p>10/11/07: Held a meeting with Todd to review the questions. Most of these we cannot answer.</p> <p>10/13/07: Sent note to Sharon. We need to work with the developer in order to respond.</p>  |
| 1510 | Java Authentication and Authorization Service (JAAS) Version: 5.1 .10 | <p>10/11/07: Withdrew the CQ since there really are just three pieces to the jaas component and these are identified in 1512, 1513, and 1514. Cancelling IPZillas 1510 and 1511. This was a result of the input to the original Contribution Questionnaire that generated five IPZillas. The CQ process has been moved to the Eclipse Portal and has been modified. This situation should not happen again.</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked CLOSED, FIXED, and WITHDRAWN</p>   |
| 1511 | jaas Version: 5.1.10  | <p>10/11/07: Duplicate of CQ IP 1512 containing the same attachment. Withdrew the CQ</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked CLOSED, FIXED, and WITHDRAWN</p>  |
| 1512 | org.mortbay.jaas Version: 5.1.10                                      | <p>9/9/07: From <a href="#">Sharon Corbett</a> We have completed preliminary bug review. You may now check the code related to this contribution into CVS/SVN in accordance with Parallel IP. Full due diligence review will occur in parallel. Source code downloaed to P:\Due Diligence\3rd Party\SourceForge\Jetty\Jaas 5.1.1.0</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked FIXED</p> <p>10/23/07: Bugzilla – Bug 207203 submitted to check in the code.</p> <p>10/28/07: Todd added the jar file to cvs and included in the distribution.</p> <p>11/23/07: IP Log updated.</p>   |
| 1513 | org.mortbay.jaas.callback Version: 5.1.10                             | <p>9/9/07: Hi Tom: The files attached appear to be the same attachment contained in IP bug 1512? Can you please clarify if the wrong attachment was used or if this CQ is a duplicate. Thanks, Sharon</p> <p>10/11/07: Sent note to Craig for clarification</p> <p>10/13/07: Sent response back to Sharon that Craig Laverone made one zip that contained all the files required for all three CQs and provided the same attachment for each.</p> <p>10/15/07: From <a href="#">Sharon Corbett</a> We have completed preliminary bug review. You may now check the code related to this contribution into CVS/SVN in accordance with Parallel IP. Full due diligence review will occur in parallel. Source code downloaed to P:\Due Diligence\3rd Party\SourceForge\Jetty\Jaas 5.1.1.0</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked FIXED</p> <p>10/23/07: Bugzilla – Bug 207204 submitted to check in the code.</p> <p>10/28/07: <a href="#">Comment #1 from Todd Singleton &lt;toddsing@us.ibm.com&gt; 2007-10-28 03:40:46 -0400 --- As far as I know, we do not use this package in our product. There is no sign of it. Dave?</a></p> |
| 1514 | org.mortbay.jaas.spi Version: 5.1.10                                  | <p>9/9/07: Hi Tom: Is this CQ still relevant? I believe the source code attached is the same as attached in IP bug 1512 and 1513. If I am not understanding, my apologies. Thanks, Sharon</p> <p>10/11/07: Sent note to Craig for clarification</p> <p>10/13/07: Sent response back to Sharon that Craig Laverone made one zip that contained all the files required for all three CQs and provided the same attachment for each.</p> <p>10/15/07: From <a href="#">Sharon Corbett</a> We have completed preliminary bug review. You may now check the code related to this contribution into CVS/SVN in accordance with Parallel IP. Full due diligence review will occur in parallel. Source code downloaed to P:\Due Diligence\3rd Party\SourceForge\Jetty\Jaas 5.1.1.0</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked FIXED</p> <p>10/23/07: Bugzilla – Bug 207205 submitted to check in the code.</p> <p>10/28/07: <a href="#">Comment #1 from Todd Singleton &lt;toddsing@us.ibm.com&gt; 2007-10-28 03:40:46 -0400 --- As far as I know, we do not use this package in our product. There is no sign of it. Dave?</a></p>             |

1538

SAN Simulator Version: 0.1

7/24/07: Hi Tom - Can you please take a look at the attachment and remove the pieces that relate to third party code from it and re-attach. I've started to review but it seems some of the third party code is contained in the attachment and this potentially will give me false results during the review process. Thanks, Sharon

10/11/07: Sent note to Todd and Ramani to see if this is true.

10/13/07: Attached code Todd sent without WBEM and updated IPzilla.

10/15/07: Sharon sent a reply with 4 questions.

10/23/07: Forwarded questions to Ramani and Todd.

10/23/07: Ramani replied with some answers

10/23/07: Tom asked for some clarification from Ramani and Todd.

11/19/07: --- Comment #17 from Sharon Corbett <sharon.corbett@eclipse.org> 2007-11-19 11:44:10 ---  
The EPL code for this contribution is approved. Please ensure the third party code is removed from CVS (as you had checkintocvs based on Parallel IP). Since the files in #2 and #3 are generated by EPL code, we do not have to remove them. Results and to do's:

1. start\_cimom.bat and any other Sun WBEM files need to be removed.
2. files are generated by EPL code, we do not have to remove them
  - a. IBMSchema
  - b. DSICAT\_Root\_IBM 5.1.0.40
  - c. IBMMOF
3. files are generated by EPL code, we do not have to remove them
4. Remove org.xml.sax – new CQ to be submitted.

**1. Apache Xerces ( <http://xerces.apache.org/> )**  
We use the org.xml.sax package from this library. Aperi also uses this library ( <http://www.eclipse.org/aperi/documentation/r2/install.php> ).  
[What level of Xerces are you using? We already obtained approval for 2.8.0. If you are using that version, I could get this approved very quickly.](#)

**2. Apache Log4j ( <http://logging.apache.org/log4j/1.2/index.html> ).**  
We use org.apache.log4j package from this library for logging purposes. Aperi does NOT use this library. Pls. let us know, if we can use this library or not. We can switch to internal java logger or switch to Aperi logger. We can switch to java default logger and the effort is very minimal.  
[I can submit a contribution questionnaire for this and we can see what the Eclipse folks say.](#)

**3. Sun WBEM**  
We use this library and the wbemservices for CIMOM. Users have to download this from <http://wbemservices.sourceforge.net/>  
[Right. We will not be able to get approval for this, but if users download, we are OK.](#)

**4. SBLIM CIMClient**  
We use this CIMClient library. Aperi also uses this library from [http://sourceforge.net/project/showfiles.php?group\\_id=128809](http://sourceforge.net/project/showfiles.php?group_id=128809)  
[What level of the CIM Client are you using? We just got the OK to use 1.3.3. If you are using that version, I could get this approved quickly as well.](#)

**5. DB2 JDBC Drivers**  
User supplies this libraries , if they already have DB2 installed with them. We are working on the derby support.  
[Not a problem if the user supplies.](#)

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
|   |  |   |
| <b>1539<br/>WILL<br/>NOT<br/>BE<br/>FIXED</b> | Dojo Toolkit Version: 0.4.2-ajax<br><b>WILL NOT BE FIXED</b> | <p>9/26/07: Hi Tom: We have a request from another project for Dojo 0.9 and we are planning to perform a full review against that version in order to determine if the issues identified previously can be resolved. Is Aperi still interested in this package and if so, can you rev up to 0.9? Thanks, Sharon</p> <p>10/11/07: Sent note to Dave and Todd on our ability to move to Dojo 0.9..</p> <p>10/11/07: Dave replied OK.</p> <p>10/12/07: responded OK to Sharon - awaiting her reply</p> <p>10/15/07: Sharon asked if we could work with the wording in CQ 1459 created by COSMOS.</p> <p>10/19/07: --- Comment #7 from Janet Campbell &lt;janet.campbell@eclipse.org&gt; 2007-10-19 14:47:39 --- Unfortunately, we are unable to approve Dojo for use in Eclipse due to pedigree concerns.</p>  |
| <b>1830</b>                                   | Derby 10.3.1.4   | <p>10/24/07: Sharon requested source be attached to IPzilla.</p> <p>10/24/07: Tried to upload source, but it was too big. Replied to IPzilla with URL and detailed instructions how to download, extract and which directory the source was located in.</p> <p>10/25/07: Sharon extracted the java source and has requested we look at it to see if there is anything that can be removed.</p> <p>11/07/07: Tom unzipped Derby java folder , stripped out the demo and testing files, about 30MB, compressed and attached to IPzilla.</p> <p>11/08/07: --- Comment #10 from Sharon Corbett &lt;sharon.corbett@eclipse.org&gt; 2007-11-08 09:55:23 --- Thanks Tom. We have completed preliminary bug review. You may now check the code related to this contribution into CVS/SVN in accordance with Parallel IP. Full due diligence review will occur in parallel. Source for Diff downloaded to P:\Due Diligence\3rd Party\Apache\Derby\Derby 10.3.1 for review purposes. Regards, Sharon</p> <p>11/14/07: Bugzilla – Bug 209850 submitted to check in the code.</p> <p>11/15/07: <a href="#">Todd checked in the code and closed the Bugzilla</a></p> |
| <b>1831</b>                                   | SBLIM CIM Client 1.3.3                                       | <p>10/24/07: Sharon requested source be attached to IPzilla.</p> <p>10/24/07: Attached source (Note: there is no ability to attach the source in the original CQ, however a URL link to the source is mandatory.)</p> <p>10/25/07: <i>Code scanned and is awaiting analysis.</i></p> <p>11/05/07 <i>Comment #7 From Sharon Corbett 2007-11-05 16:01:10 [reply] -----</i></p> <p>We have completed preliminary bug review. You may now check the code related to this contribution into CVS/SVN in accordance with Parallel IP. Full due diligence review will occur in parallel. Source for Diff downloaded to P:\Due Diligence\3rdParty\SourceForge\SBLIM\CIM_CLIENT folder 1.3.3</p> <p>11/07/07: Bugzilla – Bug 209115 submitted to check in the code.</p> <p>11/20/07: <a href="#">Todd checked in the code and closed the Bugzilla</a></p>   |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |