

Aperi IPZillas:

CQ:	Component	Status
144	CCLOG Version: 115 Level 20060816A	<p>09/07/07: Sharon sent a note with 7 questions from the code review.</p> <p>10/11/07: Held a meeting with Todd to review the questions. Most of these we cannot answer.</p> <p>10/13/07: Sent note to Sharon. We need to work with the developer in order to respond.</p>
1510	Java Authentication and Authorization Service (JAAS) Version: 5.1 .10	<p>10/11/07: Withdrew the CQ since there really are just three pieces to the jaas component and these are identified in 1512, 1513, and 1514. Cancelling IPZillas 1510 and 1511. This was a result of the input to the original Contribution Questionnaire that generated five IPZillas. The CQ process has been moved to the Eclipse Portal and has been modified. This situation should not happen again.</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked CLOSED, FIXED, and WITHDRAWN</p>
1511	jaas Version: 5.1.10	<p>10/11/07: Duplicate of CQ IP 1512 containing the same attachment. Withdrew the CQ</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked CLOSED, FIXED, and WITHDRAWN</p>
1512	org.mortbay.jaas Version: 5.1.10	<p>9/9/07: <i>From Sharon Corbett</i> We have completed preliminary bug review. You may now check the code related to this contribution into CVS/SVN in accordance with Parallel IP. Full due diligence review will occur in parallel. Source code downloaed to P:\Due Diligence\3rd Party\SourceForge\Jetty\Jaas 5.1.1.0</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked FIXED</p> <p>10/23/07: Bugzilla – Bug 207203 submitted to check in the code.</p>
1513	org.mortbay.jaas.callback Version: 5.1.10	<p>9/9/07: Hi Tom: The files attached appear to be the same attachment contained in IP bug 1512? Can you please clarify if the wrong attachment was used or if this CQ is a duplicate. Thanks, Sharon</p> <p>10/11/07: Sent note to Craig for clarification</p> <p>10/13/07: Sent response back to Sharon that Craig Laverone made one zip that contained all the files required for all three CQs and provided the same attachment for each.</p> <p>10/15/07: <i>From Sharon Corbett</i> We have completed preliminary bug review. You may now check the code related to this contribution into CVS/SVN in accordance with Parallel IP. Full due diligence review will occur in parallel. Source code downloaed to P:\Due Diligence\3rd Party\SourceForge\Jetty\Jaas 5.1.1.0</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked FIXED</p> <p>10/23/07: Bugzilla – Bug 207204 submitted to check in the code.</p>
1514	org.mortbay.jaas.spi Version: 5.1.10	<p>9/9/07: Hi Tom: Is this CQ still relevant? I believe the source code attached is the same as attached in IP bug 1512 and 1513. If I am not understanding, my apologies. Thanks, Sharon</p> <p>10/11/07: Sent note to Craig for clarification</p> <p>10/13/07: Sent response back to Sharon that Craig Laverone made one zip that contained all the files required for all three CQs and provided the same attachment for each.</p> <p>10/15/07: <i>From Sharon Corbett</i> We have completed preliminary bug review. You may now check the code related to this contribution into CVS/SVN in accordance with Parallel IP. Full due diligence review will occur in parallel. Source code downloaed to P:\Due Diligence\3rd Party\SourceForge\Jetty\Jaas 5.1.1.0</p> <p>10/19/07: Marked FIXED</p> <p>10/23/07: Bugzilla – Bug 207205 submitted to check in the code.</p>
1538	SAN Simulator Version: 0.1	<p>7/24/07: Hi Tom - Can you please take a look at the attachment and remove the pieces that relate to third party code from it and re-attach. I've started to review but it seems some of the third party code is contained in the attachment and this potentially will give me false results during the review process. Thanks, Sharon</p> <p>10/11/07: Sent note to Todd and Ramani to see if this is true.</p> <p>10/13/07: Attached code Todd sent without WBEM and updated IPzilla.</p> <p>10/15/07: Sharon sent a reply with 4 questions.</p>

		<p>10/23/07: Forwarded questions to Ramani and Todd. 10/23/07: Ramani replied with some answers 10/23/07: Tom asked for some clarification from Ramani and Todd.</p>
1539 WILL NOT BE FIXED	Dojo Toolkit Version: 0.4.2-ajax WILL NOT BE FIXED	<p>9/26/07: Hi Tom: We have a request from another project for Dojo 0.9 and we are planning to perform a full review against that version in order to determine if the issues identified previously can be resolved. Is Aperi still interested in this package and if so, can you rev up to 0.9? Thanks, Sharon 10/11/07: Sent note to Dave and Todd on our ability to move to Dojo 0.9.. 10/11/07: Dave replied OK. 10/12/07: responded OK to Sharon - awaiting her reply 10/15/07: Sharon asked if we could work with the wording in CQ 1459 created by COSMOS. 10/19/07: --- Comment #7 from Janet Campbell <janet.campbell@eclipse.org> 2007-10-19 14:47:39 --- Unfortunately, we are unable to approve Dojo for use in Eclipse due to pedigree concerns.</p>
1830	Derby 10.3.1.4	<p>10/24/07: Sharon requested source be attached to IPzilla. 10/24/07: Tried to upload source, but it was too big. Replied to IPzilla with URL and detailed instructions how to download, extract and which directory the source was located in. 10/25/07: Sharon extracted the java source and has requested we look at it to see if there is anything that can be removed.</p>
1831	SBLIM CIM Client 1.3.3	<p>10/24/07: Sharon requested source be attached to IPzilla. 10/24/07: Attached source (Note: there is no ability to attach the source in the original CQ, however a URL link to the source is mandatory.) 10/25/07: Code scanned and is awaiting analysis.</p>