

Eclipse and Java™ 8

Daniel Megert

Platform and JDT Lead

Eclipse PMC Member

IBM Rational Zurich Research Lab

Eclipse and Java™ 8

- **New Java language features**
- **Eclipse features for Java 8**
- **Behind the scenes**

New Java Language Features

- **2 JSRs**

- JSR-335: Lambda Expressions
- JSR-308: Annotations on Java Types

- **2 JEPs**

- JEP 118: Method Parameter Reflection
- JEP 120: Repeating Annotations

JSR-335: Two New Type of Methods

- **Default methods**

- Previous names:

- Defender methods
- Virtual extension methods

- **Static interface methods**

- No OOP here!

- Method must be qualified with exact interface type

Default Methods

■ Intention

- Allow evolution of interfaces (esp. in libraries)
- Methods can be added to interface without API breakage
- Why part of JSR-335?
 - Allows to add new methods that take a lambda expression:
`java.util.function.Function<T, R>`

■ Consequences

- Multiple inheritance?
 - Yes, but compiler throws error if same method is inherited
- Need to resolve manually with new construct: `I.super.m()`

JSR-335: Lambda Expressions

- **Many names used in the past**
 - Lambda Expressions, Closures, Anonymous Methods
- **Function + “captured state” (can have non-locals)**
- **Paradigm of passing a "code block as data"**
- **Get rid of verbose anonymous class syntax**

Lambda Expressions

- **Scope**

- Anonymous classes introduce their own scopes
- Interplay between names in enclosing scope ↔ inherited names

- **Capture**

- Can capture explicitly final outer locals
- And now since 1.8: effectively final locals

- **Expressions at the grammar level**

- Lambda needs a context that provides target type

Lambda Expressions: Functional Interface

- **Lambda needs a context that provides target type**
- **Lambda only allowed for functional interfaces**
 - Interface with a single abstract method
 - Default methods don't count, but can be there
 - Static methods are not allowed, but can be there
 - Methods from Object don't count either
 - Optionally annotated with `@FunctionalInterface`
- **Lambda object implements a functional interface**

JSR-335: Method References

- **Very similar to lambda expressions**
 - Also require a target type
 - Target type must be a functional interface
 - Serve as instances of the functional interface
 - Don't provide a method body, but instead:
refer to an existing method
 - ```
void doSort(Integer[] ints) {
 Arrays.sort(ints, Integer::compare);
}
```

# JSR-308: Annotations on Java Types

- **But, couldn't we already do this before Java 8?**
  - `void foo(@Foo String s) {}`
  - No! The annotation was on the declaration (s)
  - Same here: `@Foo String java17() {}`
- **So far, only annotations on declarations**
  - `ElementType`: packages, classes, fields, methods, ...
- **Java 8: annotations on types**
  - `ElementType.TYPE_PARAMETER`
  - `ElementType.TYPE_USE`

# JSR-308: Annotations on Java Types

- **Allows to add constraints to types anywhere in the code**
- **Leveraged in Eclipse to improve null analysis**

# Behind the Scenes

- **The Team**
- **How did we implement the Java 8 specs?**
- **Java 8 effort by numbers**

# The Team



- ◆ Andy Clement
- ◆ Steve Francisco
- ◆ Michael Rennie
- ◆ Olivier Thomann
- ◆ Curtis Windatt



- ◆ Walter Harley
- ◆ David Williams



- ◆ Jesper S. Møller



- ◆ Stephan Herrmann



- ◆ Markus Keller
- ◆ Dani Megert



- ◆ Jay Arthanareeswaran
- ◆ Deepak Azad
- ◆ Shankha Banerjee
- ◆ Anirban Chakarborty
- ◆ Vikas Chandra
- ◆ Noopur Gupta
- ◆ Ayushman Jain
- ◆ Manju Mathew
- ◆ Manoj Palat
- ◆ Srikanth Sankaran
- ◆ Sarika Sinha

# Implementing the Specs

- **Initially: javac defined/drove specs**
- **Eclipse must only use spec, but**
  - Incomplete (April – Sept 2013)
  - Inaccurate or undefined in some parts
- **We participated in the JSR expert groups**
- **Users report differences between ECJ and javac**
  - ECJ? javac bug? JLS bug?
  - Who is the master, JLS or javac?
- **We helped to make the spec more concise!**

# JDT Does Not Accept Contributions! Really?

- **2012 starts with a JDT team that has 4 core and 4 UI committers/experts**
- **Half of the team gone by summer 2012!**
- **Hard to find new people with compiler know-how**
- **Backfilled by the end of the year**
- **BUT: New people had zero knowledge of JDT**
- **Hard life for existing committers: train new people and make progress on Java 8**

# JDT Does Not Accept Contributions! Really?

- **Not much room/energy to review contributions unrelated to Java 8?**
- **JDT spent lots of time to review contributions!**
- **JDT Core: 50 contributions from 20 people**
- **JDT UI: 47 contributions from 15 people**



# Java 8 Effort by Numbers

- **First commit in May 25, 2012**
- **3 big projects tested compiler to build it  
JDK 8, OpenJFX and Eclipse SDK**
- **31 people contributed code**
- **800 bugs/enhancements fixed for Java 8**
- **1500+ commits**